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#### Abstract

We show that a non-abelian finite simple group the derived subgroups of all of its subgroups are TI-subgroups is isomorphic to either $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2,2^{p}\right)$ for some prime $p$, to $\operatorname{PSL}(2,7)$ or to the Suzuki group $\mathrm{Sz}(8)$.


## 1. Introduction

Recall that a group is said to be a Dedekind group if all of its subgroups are normal. As already Dedekind [4] himself has found, there are not many possibilities for the structure of such group. Therefore it is natural to weaken the condition of all subgroups being normal a bit, and to see whether one can still obtain a classification of the groups which satisfy such weakened condition.

An example of a property of a subgroup which is weaker than normality is that of being a TI-subgroup. - Recall that a subgroup is said to be a TI-subgroup if its distinct conjugates have pairwise trivial intersection. The groups all of whose subgroups are TI-subgroups can still be classified - cf. Walls [15].

Now it seems natural to further weaken the condition to a certain extent, and to classify groups a certain subset of whose subgroups are TI-subgroups. One result in this spirit is the classification of finite groups all of whose abelian subgroups are TI-subgroups obtained by Guo et al. [6]. Another is the description of the structure of the nonnilpotent groups all of whose cyclic subgroups are TI-subgroups obtained by Mousavi et al. [13], and the extension of this work to finite nilpotent groups by Abdollahi and Mousavi [1]. Here we are interested in another set of subgroups to be TI-subgroups:

[^0]Definition 1.1. Let $G$ be a group. We call a subgroup of $G$ a $D T I-$ subgroup if its derived subgroup is a TI-subgroup. Further, we call the group $G$ a DTI-group if all of its subgroups are DTI-subgroups.

In this paper, using the CFSG we classify the finite simple DTIgroups. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.2. Up to isomorphism, the non-abelian finite simple DTIgroups are precisely the following:
(1) $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2,2^{p}\right)$ for some prime number $p$;
(2) $\operatorname{PSL}(2,7)$;
(3) $\mathrm{Sz}(8)$.

## 2. Preliminaries

For the obvious reasons, a subgroup whose derived subgroup has prime order is always a DTI-subgroup. Basic examples of DTI-groups are e.g. the dihedral groups, which even normalize the derived subgroups of all of their subgroups. A slightly more elaborate example is the following:

Example 2.1. The alternating group $\mathrm{A}_{5}$ is a DTI-group. - Up to isomorphism, there are four non-trivial groups which occur as derived subgroups of subgroups of $A_{5}$, namely $A_{5}, C_{5}, C_{3}$ and $V_{4}$. Since $A_{5}$ normalizes itself and since subgroups of prime order are always TIsubgroups, it suffices to convince oneself that the conjugates of $\mathrm{V}_{4}$ in $A_{5}$ have pairwise trivial intersection. - Note however that not all subgroups of $\mathrm{A}_{5}$ are TI-subgroups. So for example any two distinct conjugates of $\mathrm{A}_{4}<\mathrm{A}_{5}$ intersect in a cyclic group of order 3 .

Lemma 2.2. The following hold:
(1) A perfect subgroup is a DTI-subgroup if and only if it is a TIsubgroup.
(2) Every subgroup of a DTI-group is a DTI-group.

Proof. Immediate.
Lemma 2.3. Characteristic subgroups of TI-subgroups are TI-subgroups as well.

Proof. Let $G$ be a group, and assume that $H$ is a TI-subgroup of $G$ and that $K$ is a characteristic subgroup of $H$. Let $g \in G$. In case $H \cap H^{g}=1$ we also have $K \cap K^{g}=1$. Otherwise it is $H \cap H^{g}=H$, and the inner automorphism of $H$ induced by conjugation with $g$ fixes $K$ - i.e. we have $K \cap K^{g}=K$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $G$ be a non-abelian finite simple DTI-group, and let $M<G$ be a maximal subgroup. Then $M$ is not simple, and we have $\mathrm{N}_{G}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=M$.

Proof. Put $X:=\left\{H^{\prime} \mid H<G\right\}$. First we show that for every $H^{\prime} \in X$ we have $H^{\prime} \lesseqgtr \mathrm{N}_{G}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. Clearly $\{1, G\} \subset X$, and $X$ has at least three elements - for if $X=\{1, G\}$, then all proper subgroups of $G$ are abelian and by [12], $G$ is solvable, which contradicts our assumptions. If there exists $1 \neq H^{\prime} \in X$ such that for every $g \in G \backslash H^{\prime}$ we have $H^{\prime} \cap H^{\prime g}=1$, then $G$ is a Frobenius group with complement $H^{\prime}$ - which contradicts the assumed simplicity of $G$. Hence for every $1 \neq H^{\prime} \in X$ there exists $g \in G \backslash H^{\prime}$ such that $H^{\prime} \cap H^{\prime g} \neq$ 1, i.e. $H^{\prime} \cap H^{\prime g}=H^{\prime}$. But this means that $g \in \mathrm{~N}_{G}\left(H^{\prime}\right) \backslash H^{\prime}$, and therefore $H^{\prime} \leq \mathrm{N}_{G}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$.

On the other hand $M^{\prime} \neq 1$, since every finite group having an abelian maximal subgroup is solvable (see e.g. [7]). Now assuming $M=M^{\prime}$ we would have $M^{\prime}=M \lesseqgtr \mathrm{~N}_{G}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$, and by maximality of $M$ it would follow $\mathrm{N}_{G}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=G$ - which is impossible, since $G$ is simple. Therefore it follows that $1<M^{\prime}<M$, and that $M$ is not simple.

By Lemma 2.4, one way to disprove a non-abelian simple group to be a DTI-group is to find a maximal subgroup which is simple.

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.2, a group which has a non-DTIsubgroup cannot be a DTI-group itself. By [2] every non-abelian simple group has a minimal simple subgroup, so our starting point here are the minimal simple groups. The latter are the non-abelian simple groups all of whose proper subgroups are solvable, or equivalently the non-abelian simple groups which no other non-abelian simple group embeds into. Thompson [14] determined all minimal simple groups:

Theorem 2.5. The minimal simple groups are as follows:
(1) $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2,2^{p}\right)$ for a prime $p$.
(2) $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2,3^{p}\right)$ for an odd prime $p$.
(3) $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$ for a prime $p>3$ with $p^{2}+1$ divisible by 5 .
(4) $\operatorname{PSL}(3,3)$.
(5) $\mathrm{Sz}\left(2^{p}\right)$ for an odd prime $p$.

## 3. The projective special linear groups PSL $(2, q)$

In this section we prove that the projective special linear group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ is a DTI-group if and only if $q=2^{r}$ where $r$ is a prime number or $q=7$. For this purpose, we need some properties of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ which we repeat here. These facts can be found in [9].

The group PSL $(2, q)$ acts doubly transitively on the projective line $\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. By using this action, the following result can be proved.

Lemma 3.1. Let $q=p^{n}$ be a prime power. Then the following hold:
(1) The Sylow $p$-subgroups of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ are TI-subgroups.
(2) The cyclic subgroups of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q), q \neq 2$ having order prime to $p$ are TI-subgroups

The subgroups of PSL $(2, q)$ are known by a Theorem of Dickson [5]. A complete list of subgroups of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ is as follows:

Theorem 3.2. Let $q:=p^{n}$ where $p$ is a prime and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Up to isomorphism, a complete list of subgroups of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ is as follows:
(1) Elementary abelian p-groups.
(2) Cyclic groups of order $d$, where $d \mid(q \pm 1) / \operatorname{gcd}(q-1,2)$.
(3) Dihedral groups of order $2 d$, with $d$ as in (2).
(4) Symmetric groups $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ if $16 \mid q^{2}-1$.
(5) Alternating groups $\mathrm{A}_{5}$ if $5 \mid q^{2}-1$ or $p=5$.
(6) Alternating groups $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ if $p>2$ or $p=2$ and $2 \mid n$.
(7) Semidirect products $\mathrm{C}_{p}^{m} \rtimes \mathrm{C}_{t}$ of elementary abelian groups of order $p^{m}(m \leq n)$ with cyclic groups of order $t$, where $t$ divides $p^{m}-1$ as well as $p^{n}-1$.
(8) Groups PSL( $2, p^{m}$ ) for divisors $m$ of $n$, and $\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, p^{m}\right)$ for divisors $m$ of $n / 2$ in case $n$ is even.
(9) Subgroups of the above groups.

From Theorem 3.2, we immediately obtain:
Lemma 3.3. Let $q:=p^{r}$ where $p$ and $r$ are prime numbers. Then up to isomorphism the derived subgroups of subgroups of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ are as follows:
(1) Cyclic groups of order $d$, where $d \mid(q \pm 1) / \operatorname{gcd}(q-1,2)$.
(2) Alternating groups $\mathrm{A}_{4}$, provided that $16 \mid q^{2}-1$.
(3) Elementary abelian groups $\mathrm{C}_{p}^{n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(4) The Klein four group $\mathrm{V}_{4}$, if $p>2$ or $p=2$ and $r=2$ (note that in the latter case, $q=4$ and $G \cong \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ ).

By Lemma 3.1, the subgroups of Type (1) in Lemma 3.3 are TIsubgroups of PSL $(2, q)$. In the sequel, we need the following elementary lemma:

Lemma 3.4. Let $a$ and $b$ be positive integers, and put $c:=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$. Then the following hold:
(1) $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{a}+1,2^{b}+1\right)=2^{c}+1$ if $a / c$ and $b / c$ are both odd, and 1 otherwise;
(2) $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{a}+1,2^{b}-1\right)=2^{c}+1$ if $a / c$ is odd and $b / c$ is even, and 1 otherwise;
(3) $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{a}-1,2^{b}-1\right)=2^{c}-1$.

Proof. Immediate.
Lemma 3.5. Put $G:=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2,2^{r}\right), r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $G$ is a DTI-Group if and only if $r$ is a prime number.

Proof. First assume that $r$ is composite. Let $s$ be a prime divisor of $r$. Then $G$ has a subgroup isomorphic to $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2,2^{r / s}\right)$, which is maximal by Theorem 3.2. Hence in this case $G$ has a maximal subgroup which is simple, and is therefore not a DTI-group by Lemma 2.4.

Now assume that $r$ is prime. Clearly $16 \nmid\left(2^{r}\right)^{2}-1$, so $G$ has no subgroup whose derived subgroup is of Type (2) of Lemma 3.3. Also the derived subgroups of the groups of Type (3) in Theorem 3.2 are TI-subgroups of $G$. So it is enough to show that elementary abelian subgroups $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, are TI-subgroups of $G$.

The Sylow 2-subgroups of $G$ are elementary abelian of order $2^{r}$. Let $P$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$. Then the normalizer $\mathrm{N}_{G}(P)$ acts transitively on $P \backslash\{1\}$ by conjugation. Thus if $Q<P$ is a subgroup of order $2^{n}$, then $Q$ can only be a TI-subgroup if $2^{n}-1$ divides $2^{r}-1$. Since $r$ is prime, this only holds for $n=1$ and $n=r$. On the other hand, for any $1<n<r$ we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{n}-1,2^{r}-1\right)=1$, so $G$ has no subgroup of type $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{n} \rtimes \mathrm{C}_{t}$. Thus, the cyclic subgroups of order 2 and the Sylow 2 -subgroups are the only elementary abelian 2 -subgroups of $G$ which occur as derived subgroups of suitable subgroups of $G$.

Conversely since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{n}-1,2^{r}-1\right)=1$ for every $n<r$, the only subgroups of Type (7) of Theorem 3.2 are subgroups $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{r} \rtimes \mathrm{C}_{t}$, where $t \mid 2^{r}-1$. In fact, $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{r}$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$. Therefore by Lemma 3.1 it is a TI-subgroup of $G$.

Lemma 3.6. Put $G:=\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ for some prime power $q$ satisfying $16 \mid q^{2}-1$. Then $G$ is a DTI-group if and only if $q=7$.

Proof. Let $q$ be a prime power such that $16 \mid q^{2}-1$, and assume that $G:=\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ is a DTI-group. By [5], the normalizer in $G$ of every subgroup of $G$ which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{S}_{4}$, and every subgroup of $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ of order 3 is self-normalizing. Also $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ has 4 maximal subgroups of order 3 , all conjugate. The key is to count the subgroups of $G$ isomorphic to $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ which contain a given cyclic subgroup $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ of order 3. - We have $\mathrm{N}_{G}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right) \cong \mathrm{D}_{q-1}$ if $3 \mid q-1$, and $\mathrm{N}_{G}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right) \cong \mathrm{D}_{q+1}$ if $3 \mid q+1-$ where $\mathrm{D}_{q+1}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{q-1}$ denote the dihedral groups of orders $q+1$ and $q-1$, respectively. Thus in case $3 \mid q-1$, the number of
subgroups of $G$ isomorphic to $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ which contain $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ is equal to

$$
\frac{|\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)|}{\left|\mathrm{S}_{4}\right|} \times 4 \times \frac{q-1}{|\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)|}=\frac{q-1}{6} .
$$

Similarly, in case $3 \mid q+1$ the number of such subgroups is $(q+1) / 6$. Now since $G$ is a DTI-group, $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ must be a TI-subgroup. As $G$ has two conjugacy classes of subgroups isomorphic to $A_{4}$, this implies that $(q-1) / 6,(q+1) / 6 \in\{1,2\}$, and hence $q \in\{5,7,11,13\}$. Now our initial assumption $16 \mid q^{2}-1$ yields $q=7$. Checking that $\operatorname{PSL}(2,7)$ is indeed a DTI-group completes the proof.

Lemma 3.7. Put $G:=\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$, where $q=p^{m} \geq 9$ is an odd prime power. If $16 \nmid q^{2}-1$, then $G$ is not a DTI-group.

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, the group $G$ has a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathrm{A}_{4}$. We show that the derived subgroup of the latter, which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{V}_{4}$, is not a DTI-subgroup of $G$. By [5], $G$ has a single class of $q\left(q^{2}-1\right) / 24$ conjugate subgroups isomorphic to $\mathrm{V}_{4}$. On the other hand, each of these groups has 3 involutions. Now in total, the group $G$ has $q(q+t) / 2$ involutions, where $t \in\{1,-1\}$ and $4 \mid(q-t)$. The assumption that the conjugate copies of $\mathrm{V}_{4}$ intersect trivially finally implies that

$$
\frac{3 q\left(q^{2}-1\right)}{24}=\frac{q(q \pm 1)}{2}
$$

hence $\left(q^{2}-1\right) / 4=q \pm 1$, which contradicts our assumption $q \geq 9$.
Corollary 3.8. The minimal simple groups of the form $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2,3^{r}\right)$, where $r$ is a prime, are not DTI-groups.

Corollary 3.9. Let $G:=\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ be a minimal simple group. Then $G$ is a DTI-group if and only if $q=2^{r}$ for some prime $r$ or $q=7$.

## 4. The Suzuki groups

In the following we collect some results on the subgroups of Suzuki groups. The corresponding proofs can be found in [[8], Thm. 3.10 in Ch. XI] and [[10], Thm. 4.12].

Theorem 4.1. Let $G:=\operatorname{Sz}(q)$, where $q=2^{2 m+1}$ for some positive integer $m$. Then a complete list of the subgroups of $G$ is as follows:
(1) The Hall subgroup $\mathrm{N}_{G}(T)=T H$ which is a Frobenius group of order $q^{2}(q-1)$, where $T$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$.
(2) The dihedral group $T_{0}=\mathrm{N}_{G}(H)$ of order $2(q-1)$.
(3) The cyclic Hall subgroups $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$, where $\left|A_{1}\right|=2^{2 m+1}+$ $2^{m+1}+1$ and $\left|A_{2}\right|=2^{2 m+1}-2^{m+1}+1$.
(4) The Frobenius subgroups $T_{1}=\mathrm{N}_{G}\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $T_{2}=\mathrm{N}_{G}\left(A_{2}\right)$, where $\left|T_{1}\right|=4\left|A_{1}\right|$ and $\left|T_{2}\right|=4\left|A_{2}\right|$.
(5) The subgroups of the form $\mathrm{Sz}(r)$ where $r$ is an odd power of 2 , $r \geq 8$ and $q=r^{n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(6) Subgroups (and their conjugates) of the above groups.

Moreover the conjugates of $T, H, A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ form a partition of $G$. So they are TI-subgroups of $G$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $p$ be an odd prime number. Then the Suzuki group $\mathrm{Sz}\left(2^{p}\right)$ is a DTI-group if and only if $p=3$.

Proof. Put $G:=\mathrm{Sz}\left(2^{p}\right)$. By Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 4.1 it suffices to check whether the 2-subgroups which occur as derived subgroups of subgroups of $G$ are TI-subgroups. Suppose that $T \in \operatorname{Syl}_{2}(G)$, and that $Q<T$ is a 2-subgroup which occurs as derived subgroup of a subgroup of $G$. Assume $|Q|=2^{n}$. Now $\mathrm{N}_{G}(T)$ acts transitively on the set $T \backslash\{1\}$. If $Q$ is a TI-subgroup, then $Q \backslash\{1\}$ is a block under this action, and therefore we have $2^{n}-1| | T \mid-1=2^{2 p}-1=\left(2^{p}-1\right)\left(2^{p}+1\right)$. If $2^{n}-1 \mid 2^{p}-1$, then $n=p$ or $n=1$. If $2^{n}-1 \mid 2^{p}+1$, then by Lemma 3.4 we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{n}-1,2^{p}+1\right)=3$ if $n$ is even. So $n=1$ or $n=2$. This means that if a 2 -subgroup $Q$ of $G$ is a TI-subgroup, then $|Q| \in\left\{2,4,2^{p}, 2^{2 p}\right\}$. But in case $p>3$, it is known that $T$ always has a 3 -generated subgroup whose derived subgroup is isomorphic to $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{3}$, and which by the above cannot be a TI-subgroup. Finally, it is straightforward to handle the case $p=3$, and to check that the group $G=\mathrm{Sz}(8)$ is indeed a DTI-group.

Lemma 4.3. Let $m$ be an odd composite number. Then the Suzuki group $\mathrm{Sz}\left(2^{m}\right)$ is not a DTI-group.

Proof. Let $p$ be a prime divisor of $m$. Then by Theorem 4.1, the group $\mathrm{Sz}\left(2^{m}\right)$ contains a maximal subgroup which is isomorphic to the simple group $\mathrm{Sz}\left(2^{m / p}\right)$. Now the assertion follows from Lemma 2.4.

## 5. The simple groups which are not minimal

In this section we show that the non-minimal simple groups are not DTI-groups. For this purpose, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. Let $q$ be a prime power. Then $\operatorname{SL}(3, q)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(3, q)$ are DTI-groups if and only if $q=2$.

Proof. We handle the cases $q=2$ and $q=3$ by means of computation, and assume from now on that $q \geq 4$. Let $G:=\mathrm{SL}(3, q)$, and put

$$
H:=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
h & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, h \in \mathrm{SL}(2, q)\right\}<G .
$$

Then $H \cong \mathrm{SL}(2, q)$ is perfect. Now putting

$$
t:=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

we have

$$
H \cap H^{t}=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
a & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{F}_{q},+\right) \notin\{1, H\}
$$

and therefore $H$ is not a DTI-subgroup, and $G$ is not a DTI-group. Now taking images under the canonical projection modulo the centre of $G$, all of this argumentation remains intact. - Homomorphic images of perfect groups are still perfect groups, and as the order of the centre is either 1 or 3 (hence in particular smaller than $q$ ), the intersection of the conjugates cannot be merged into one equivalence class. The assertion for the groups $\operatorname{PSL}(3, q)$ follows.

Lemma 5.2. Suppose that $G$ is a non-abelian simple group which is not minimal simple. Then $G$ is not a DTI-group.

Proof. We use the classification of finite simple groups, cf. e.g. [3]. First we consider the sporadic simple groups. The table given below shows that every sporadic simple group has a proper non-DTI-subgroup, and that therefore there is no sporadic simple DTI-group:

| Group | Non-DTI-subgroup | Group | Non-DTI-subgroup |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{11}$ | PSL(2,11) | $\mathrm{M}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{11}$ |
| $\mathrm{~J}_{1}$ | PSL $(2,11)$ | $\mathrm{M}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{7}$ |
| $\mathrm{~J}_{2}$ | PSU $(3,3)$ | $\mathrm{M}_{23}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{11}$ |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}(2)^{\prime}$ | PSL $(2,25)$ | HS | $\mathrm{M}_{22}$ |
| $\mathrm{~J}_{3}$ | PSL $(2,19)$ | $\mathrm{M}_{24}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{23}$ |
| McL | $\mathrm{M}_{22}$ | He | $\mathrm{A}_{7}$ |
| Ru | $\mathrm{A}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{Suz}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{7}$ |
| $\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Co}_{3}$ | HS |
| $\mathrm{Co}_{2}$ | McL | $\mathrm{Fi}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| HN | $\mathrm{A}_{12}$ | Ly | $\mathrm{A}_{11}$ |
|  |  |  | To be continued. |


| Continued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | Non-DTI-subgroup | Group | Non-DTI-subgroup |  |  |  |
| Th | PSL $(2,19)$ | $\mathrm{Fi}_{23}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{12}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Co}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~J}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{PSL}(2,23)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Fi}_{24}^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{Fi}_{23}$ | B | $\mathrm{M}_{11}$ |  |  |  |
| M | Th |  |  |  |  |  |

Now suppose that $G$ is a group of Lie type. If $G$ is a Chevalley group except $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ and $\operatorname{PSp}(4, q)$, then by [2], it has a subgroup isomorphic to either $\operatorname{SL}(3, q)$ or $\operatorname{PSL}(3, q)$. By Lemma 5.1 the latter are not DTI-groups unless $q=2$, and the case $q=2$ can be dealt with in a tedious case-by-case analysis. If $G=\operatorname{PSp}(4, q)$ and $q$ is even, then by [11], it has a maximal subgroup isomorphic to the Suzuki group $\mathrm{Sz}(q)$ - and therefore it is not a DTI-group by Lemma 2.4.

If $G$ is a Steinberg group, then it is of one of the types ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{n}\left(q^{2}\right)$, $n \geq 2,{ }^{2} \mathrm{D}_{n}\left(q^{2}\right), n \geq 4,{ }^{2} \mathrm{E}_{6}\left(q^{2}\right)$ or ${ }^{3} \mathrm{D}_{4}\left(q^{3}\right)$. Now again by [2], the groups ${ }^{2} \mathrm{D}_{n}\left(q^{2}\right), n \geq 4,{ }^{2} \mathrm{E}_{6}\left(q^{2}\right)$ and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{D}_{4}\left(q^{3}\right)$ have subgroups isomorphic to either $\operatorname{SL}(3, q)$ or $\operatorname{PSL}(3, q)$ - and again by Lemma 5.1 the latter are not DTI-groups unless $q=2$. Also, as above the case $q=2$ can be dealt with in a tedious case-by-case analysis. Further if $n \geq 5$, then ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{n}\left(q^{2}\right)$ has a proper subgroup isomorphic to either $\operatorname{SL}\left(3, q^{2}\right)$ or $\operatorname{PSL}\left(3, q^{2}\right)$. So we consider the Steinberg groups ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{n}\left(q^{2}\right)$ for $n \in\{2,3,4\}$. For $q$ odd, the group ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{n}\left(q^{2}\right)$ has the simple subgroup $\mathrm{P} \Omega_{n}^{+}(q)$, which is not a DTI-group by the previous paragraph. So we may assume that $q$ is a power of 2 . Since the groups ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}\left(q^{2}\right) \cong \operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ have already been treated before, we may assume that $n \in\{3,4\}$. But then the group ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{n}\left(q^{2}\right)$ has a subgroup isomorphic to the simple group $\mathrm{SL}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$, hence it is not a DTI-group.

The simple groups of types ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}\left(2^{2 m+1}\right)$ and ${ }^{2} \mathrm{G}_{2}\left(3^{2 n+1}\right)$ have non-DTIsubgroups isomorphic to $\operatorname{PSL}(2,25)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2,3^{2 n+1}\right)$, respectively. Finally, in Section 4 we have shown that except for $\mathrm{Sz}(8)$ the Suzuki groups are not DTI-groups, and the proof is completed.
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