SIMPLE GROUPS THE DERIVED SUBGROUPS OF ALL OF WHOSE SUBGROUPS ARE TI-SUBGROUPS

LEYLI JAFARI TAGHVASANI AND STEFAN KOHL

l.jafari@sci.uok.ac.ir, sk239@st-andrews.ac.uk

ABSTRACT. We show that a non-abelian finite simple group the derived subgroups of all of its subgroups are TI-subgroups is isomorphic to either $PSL(2, 2^p)$ for some prime p, to PSL(2, 7) or to the Suzuki group Sz(8).

1. INTRODUCTION

Recall that a group is said to be a *Dedekind group* if all of its subgroups are normal. As already Dedekind [4] himself has found, there are not many possibilities for the structure of such group. Therefore it is natural to weaken the condition of all subgroups being normal a bit, and to see whether one can still obtain a classification of the groups which satisfy such weakened condition.

An example of a property of a subgroup which is weaker than normality is that of being a TI-subgroup. – Recall that a subgroup is said to be a TI-subgroup if its distinct conjugates have pairwise trivial intersection. The groups all of whose subgroups are TI-subgroups can still be classified – cf. Walls [15].

Now it seems natural to further weaken the condition to a certain extent, and to classify groups a certain subset of whose subgroups are TI-subgroups. One result in this spirit is the classification of finite groups all of whose abelian subgroups are TI-subgroups obtained by Guo et al. [6]. Another is the description of the structure of the nonnilpotent groups all of whose cyclic subgroups are TI-subgroups obtained by Mousavi et al. [13], and the extension of this work to finite nilpotent groups by Abdollahi and Mousavi [1]. Here we are interested in another set of subgroups to be TI-subgroups:

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20E32; Secondary 20D99.

Key words and phrases. Finite simple group, TI-subgroup.

Definition 1.1. Let G be a group. We call a subgroup of G a DTI-subgroup if its derived subgroup is a TI-subgroup. Further, we call the group G a DTI-group if all of its subgroups are DTI-subgroups.

In this paper, using the CFSG we classify the finite simple DTIgroups. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.2. Up to isomorphism, the non-abelian finite simple DTIgroups are precisely the following:

- (1) $PSL(2, 2^p)$ for some prime number p;
- (2) PSL(2,7);
- (3) Sz(8).

2. Preliminaries

For the obvious reasons, a subgroup whose derived subgroup has prime order is always a DTI-subgroup. Basic examples of DTI-groups are e.g. the dihedral groups, which even normalize the derived subgroups of all of their subgroups. A slightly more elaborate example is the following:

Example 2.1. The alternating group A_5 is a DTI-group. – Up to isomorphism, there are four non-trivial groups which occur as derived subgroups of subgroups of A_5 , namely A_5 , C_5 , C_3 and V_4 . Since A_5 normalizes itself and since subgroups of prime order are always TI-subgroups, it suffices to convince oneself that the conjugates of V_4 in A_5 have pairwise trivial intersection. – Note however that not all subgroups of A_5 are TI-subgroups. So for example any two distinct conjugates of $A_4 < A_5$ intersect in a cyclic group of order 3.

Lemma 2.2. The following hold:

- (1) A perfect subgroup is a DTI-subgroup if and only if it is a TIsubgroup.
- (2) Every subgroup of a DTI-group is a DTI-group.

Proof. Immediate.

 \square

Lemma 2.3. Characteristic subgroups of TI-subgroups are TI-subgroups as well.

Proof. Let G be a group, and assume that H is a TI-subgroup of G and that K is a characteristic subgroup of H. Let $g \in G$. In case $H \cap H^g = 1$ we also have $K \cap K^g = 1$. Otherwise it is $H \cap H^g = H$, and the inner automorphism of H induced by conjugation with g fixes K – i.e. we have $K \cap K^g = K$.

 $\mathbf{2}$

Lemma 2.4. Let G be a non-abelian finite simple DTI-group, and let M < G be a maximal subgroup. Then M is not simple, and we have $N_G(M') = M$.

Proof. Put $X := \{H' \mid H < G\}$. First we show that for every $H' \in X$ we have $H' \leq N_G(H')$. Clearly $\{1, G\} \subset X$, and X has at least three elements – for if $X = \{1, G\}$, then all proper subgroups of G are abelian and by [12], G is solvable, which contradicts our assumptions. If there exists $1 \neq H' \in X$ such that for every $g \in G \setminus H'$ we have $H' \cap H'^g = 1$, then G is a Frobenius group with complement H' – which contradicts the assumed simplicity of G. Hence for every $1 \neq H' \in X$ there exists $g \in G \setminus H'$ such that $H' \cap H'^g \neq 1$, i.e. $H' \cap H'^g = H'$. But this means that $g \in N_G(H') \setminus H'$, and therefore $H' \leq N_G(H')$.

On the other hand $M' \neq 1$, since every finite group having an abelian maximal subgroup is solvable (see e.g. [7]). Now assuming M = M'we would have $M' = M \leq N_G(M')$, and by maximality of M it would follow $N_G(M') = G$ – which is impossible, since G is simple. Therefore it follows that 1 < M' < M, and that M is not simple. \Box

By Lemma 2.4, one way to disprove a non-abelian simple group to be a DTI-group is to find a maximal subgroup which is simple.

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.2, a group which has a non-DTIsubgroup cannot be a DTI-group itself. By [2] every non-abelian simple group has a minimal simple subgroup, so our starting point here are the minimal simple groups. The latter are the non-abelian simple groups all of whose proper subgroups are solvable, or equivalently the non-abelian simple groups which no other non-abelian simple group embeds into. Thompson [14] determined all minimal simple groups:

Theorem 2.5. The minimal simple groups are as follows:

- (1) $PSL(2, 2^p)$ for a prime p.
- (2) $PSL(2, 3^p)$ for an odd prime p.
- (3) PSL(2, p) for a prime p > 3 with $p^2 + 1$ divisible by 5.
- (4) PSL(3,3).
- (5) $Sz(2^p)$ for an odd prime p.

3. The projective special linear groups PSL(2,q)

In this section we prove that the projective special linear group PSL(2,q) is a DTI-group if and only if $q = 2^r$ where r is a prime number or q = 7. For this purpose, we need some properties of PSL(2,q) which we repeat here. These facts can be found in [9].

The group PSL(2, q) acts doubly transitively on the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. By using this action, the following result can be proved.

Lemma 3.1. Let $q = p^n$ be a prime power. Then the following hold:

- (1) The Sylow p-subgroups of PSL(2, q) are TI-subgroups.
- (2) The cyclic subgroups of PSL(2,q), $q \neq 2$ having order prime to p are TI-subgroups

The subgroups of PSL(2, q) are known by a Theorem of Dickson [5]. A complete list of subgroups of PSL(2, q) is as follows:

Theorem 3.2. Let $q := p^n$ where p is a prime and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Up to isomorphism, a complete list of subgroups of PSL(2,q) is as follows:

- (1) Elementary abelian p-groups.
- (2) Cyclic groups of order d, where $d \mid (q \pm 1) / \gcd(q 1, 2)$.
- (3) Dihedral groups of order 2d, with d as in (2).
- (4) Symmetric groups S_4 if $16 \mid q^2 1$.
- (5) Alternating groups A_5 if $5 \mid q^2 1$ or p = 5.
- (6) Alternating groups A_4 if p > 2 or p = 2 and $2 \mid n$.
- (7) Semidirect products $C_p^m \rtimes C_t$ of elementary abelian groups of order p^m ($m \le n$) with cyclic groups of order t, where t divides $p^m 1$ as well as $p^n 1$.
- (8) Groups $PSL(2, p^m)$ for divisors m of n, and $PGL(2, p^m)$ for divisors m of n/2 in case n is even.
- (9) Subgroups of the above groups.

From Theorem 3.2, we immediately obtain:

Lemma 3.3. Let $q := p^r$ where p and r are prime numbers. Then up to isomorphism the derived subgroups of subgroups of PSL(2,q) are as follows:

- (1) Cyclic groups of order d, where $d \mid (q \pm 1) / \gcd(q 1, 2)$.
- (2) Alternating groups A₄, provided that $16 \mid q^2 1$.
- (3) Elementary abelian groups C_p^n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (4) The Klein four group V_4 , if p > 2 or p = 2 and r = 2 (note that in the latter case, q = 4 and $G \cong A_5$).

By Lemma 3.1, the subgroups of Type (1) in Lemma 3.3 are TIsubgroups of PSL(2, q). In the sequel, we need the following elementary lemma:

Lemma 3.4. Let a and b be positive integers, and put c := gcd(a, b). Then the following hold:

- (1) $gcd(2^{a} + 1, 2^{b} + 1) = 2^{c} + 1$ if a/c and b/c are both odd, and 1 otherwise;
- (2) $gcd(2^{a}+1, 2^{b}-1) = 2^{c}+1$ if a/c is odd and b/c is even, and 1 otherwise;

4

(3)
$$gcd(2^a - 1, 2^b - 1) = 2^c - 1.$$

Proof. Immediate.

Lemma 3.5. Put $G := PSL(2, 2^r)$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then G is a DTI-Group if and only if r is a prime number.

Proof. First assume that r is composite. Let s be a prime divisor of r. Then G has a subgroup isomorphic to $PSL(2, 2^{r/s})$, which is maximal by Theorem 3.2. Hence in this case G has a maximal subgroup which is simple, and is therefore not a DTI-group by Lemma 2.4.

Now assume that r is prime. Clearly $16 \nmid (2^r)^2 - 1$, so G has no subgroup whose derived subgroup is of Type (2) of Lemma 3.3. Also the derived subgroups of the groups of Type (3) in Theorem 3.2 are TI-subgroups of G. So it is enough to show that elementary abelian subgroups C_2^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are TI-subgroups of G.

The Sylow 2-subgroups of G are elementary abelian of order 2^r . Let P be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G. Then the normalizer $N_G(P)$ acts transitively on $P \setminus \{1\}$ by conjugation. Thus if Q < P is a subgroup of order 2^n , then Q can only be a TI-subgroup if $2^n - 1$ divides $2^r - 1$. Since r is prime, this only holds for n = 1 and n = r. On the other hand, for any 1 < n < r we have $gcd(2^n - 1, 2^r - 1) = 1$, so G has no subgroup of type $C_2^n \rtimes C_t$. Thus, the cyclic subgroups of order 2 and the Sylow 2-subgroups are the only elementary abelian 2-subgroups of G which occur as derived subgroups of suitable subgroups of G.

Conversely since $gcd(2^n - 1, 2^r - 1) = 1$ for every n < r, the only subgroups of Type (7) of Theorem 3.2 are subgroups $C_2^r \rtimes C_t$, where $t \mid 2^r - 1$. In fact, C_2^r is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G. Therefore by Lemma 3.1 it is a TI-subgroup of G.

Lemma 3.6. Put G := PSL(2, q) for some prime power q satisfying $16 \mid q^2 - 1$. Then G is a DTI-group if and only if q = 7.

Proof. Let q be a prime power such that $16 \mid q^2 - 1$, and assume that G := PSL(2,q) is a DTI-group. By [5], the normalizer in G of every subgroup of G which is isomorphic to A₄ is isomorphic to S₄, and every subgroup of A₄ of order 3 is self-normalizing. Also A₄ has 4 maximal subgroups of order 3, all conjugate. The key is to count the subgroups of G isomorphic to A₄ which contain a given cyclic subgroup C₃ of order 3. – We have N_G(C₃) \cong D_{q-1} if $3 \mid q - 1$, and N_G(C₃) \cong D_{q+1} if $3 \mid q + 1$ – where D_{q+1} and D_{q-1} denote the dihedral groups of orders q + 1 and q - 1, respectively. Thus in case $3 \mid q - 1$, the number of

subgroups of G isomorphic to A_4 which contain C_3 is equal to

$$\frac{|\operatorname{PSL}(2,q)|}{|\operatorname{S}_4|} \times 4 \times \frac{q-1}{|\operatorname{PSL}(2,q)|} = \frac{q-1}{6}.$$

Similarly, in case 3 | q + 1 the number of such subgroups is (q + 1)/6. Now since G is a DTI-group, A₄ must be a TI-subgroup. As G has two conjugacy classes of subgroups isomorphic to A₄, this implies that $(q - 1)/6, (q + 1)/6 \in \{1, 2\}$, and hence $q \in \{5, 7, 11, 13\}$. Now our initial assumption $16 | q^2 - 1$ yields q = 7. Checking that PSL(2, 7) is indeed a DTI-group completes the proof.

Lemma 3.7. Put G := PSL(2, q), where $q = p^m \ge 9$ is an odd prime power. If $16 \nmid q^2 - 1$, then G is not a DTI-group.

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, the group G has a subgroup isomorphic to A_4 . We show that the derived subgroup of the latter, which is isomorphic to V_4 , is not a DTI-subgroup of G. By [5], G has a single class of $q(q^2 - 1)/24$ conjugate subgroups isomorphic to V_4 . On the other hand, each of these groups has 3 involutions. Now in total, the group G has q(q + t)/2 involutions, where $t \in \{1, -1\}$ and $4 \mid (q - t)$. The assumption that the conjugate copies of V_4 intersect trivially finally implies that

$$\frac{3q(q^2-1)}{24} = \frac{q(q\pm 1)}{2},$$

hence $(q^2 - 1)/4 = q \pm 1$, which contradicts our assumption $q \ge 9$. \Box

Corollary 3.8. The minimal simple groups of the form $PSL(2, 3^r)$, where r is a prime, are not DTI-groups.

Corollary 3.9. Let G := PSL(2, q) be a minimal simple group. Then G is a DTI-group if and only if $q = 2^r$ for some prime r or q = 7.

4. The Suzuki groups

In the following we collect some results on the subgroups of Suzuki groups. The corresponding proofs can be found in [[8], Thm. 3.10 in Ch. XI] and [[10], Thm. 4.12].

Theorem 4.1. Let G := Sz(q), where $q = 2^{2m+1}$ for some positive integer m. Then a complete list of the subgroups of G is as follows:

- (1) The Hall subgroup $N_G(T) = TH$ which is a Frobenius group of order $q^2(q-1)$, where T is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G.
- (2) The dihedral group $T_0 = N_G(H)$ of order 2(q-1).
- (3) The cyclic Hall subgroups A_1 and A_2 , where $|A_1| = 2^{2m+1} + 2^{m+1} + 1$ and $|A_2| = 2^{2m+1} 2^{m+1} + 1$.

- (4) The Frobenius subgroups $T_1 = N_G(A_1)$ and $T_2 = N_G(A_2)$, where $|T_1| = 4|A_1|$ and $|T_2| = 4|A_2|$.
- (5) The subgroups of the form Sz(r) where r is an odd power of 2, $r \ge 8$ and $q = r^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (6) Subgroups (and their conjugates) of the above groups.

Moreover the conjugates of T, H, A_1 and A_2 form a partition of G. So they are TI-subgroups of G.

Lemma 4.2. Let p be an odd prime number. Then the Suzuki group $Sz(2^p)$ is a DTI-group if and only if p = 3.

Proof. Put $G := Sz(2^p)$. By Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 4.1 it suffices to check whether the 2-subgroups which occur as derived subgroups of subgroups of G are TI-subgroups. Suppose that $T \in Syl_2(G)$, and that Q < T is a 2-subgroup which occurs as derived subgroup of a subgroup of G. Assume $|Q| = 2^n$. Now $N_G(T)$ acts transitively on the set $T \setminus \{1\}$. If Q is a TI-subgroup, then $Q \setminus \{1\}$ is a block under this action, and therefore we have $2^n - 1 \mid |T| - 1 = 2^{2p} - 1 = (2^p - 1)(2^p + 1)$. If $2^{n} - 1 \mid 2^{p} - 1$, then n = p or n = 1. If $2^{n} - 1 \mid 2^{p} + 1$, then by Lemma 3.4 we have $gcd(2^n - 1, 2^p + 1) = 3$ if n is even. So n = 1or n = 2. This means that if a 2-subgroup Q of G is a TI-subgroup, then $|Q| \in \{2, 4, 2^p, 2^{2p}\}$. But in case p > 3, it is known that T always has a 3-generated subgroup whose derived subgroup is isomorphic to C_2^3 , and which by the above cannot be a TI-subgroup. Finally, it is straightforward to handle the case p = 3, and to check that the group G = Sz(8) is indeed a DTI-group.

Lemma 4.3. Let m be an odd composite number. Then the Suzuki group $Sz(2^m)$ is not a DTI-group.

Proof. Let p be a prime divisor of m. Then by Theorem 4.1, the group $Sz(2^m)$ contains a maximal subgroup which is isomorphic to the simple group $Sz(2^{m/p})$. Now the assertion follows from Lemma 2.4.

5. The simple groups which are not minimal

In this section we show that the non-minimal simple groups are not DTI-groups. For this purpose, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. Let q be a prime power. Then SL(3,q) and PSL(3,q) are DTI-groups if and only if q = 2.

Proof. We handle the cases q = 2 and q = 3 by means of computation, and assume from now on that $q \ge 4$. Let G := SL(3, q), and put

$$H := \left\{ \left(\frac{h \mid 0}{0 \mid 1} \right) \mid h \in \mathrm{SL}(2,q) \right\} < G.$$

Then $H \cong SL(2,q)$ is perfect. Now putting

$$t := \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right),$$

we have

$$H \cap H^{t} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \middle| a \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \right\} \cong (\mathbb{F}_{q}, +) \notin \{1, H\},$$

and therefore H is not a DTI-subgroup, and G is not a DTI-group. Now taking images under the canonical projection modulo the centre of G, all of this argumentation remains intact. – Homomorphic images of perfect groups are still perfect groups, and as the order of the centre is either 1 or 3 (hence in particular smaller than q), the intersection of the conjugates cannot be merged into one equivalence class. The assertion for the groups PSL(3, q) follows.

Lemma 5.2. Suppose that G is a non-abelian simple group which is not minimal simple. Then G is not a DTI-group.

Proof. We use the classification of finite simple groups, cf. e.g. [3]. First we consider the sporadic simple groups. The table given below shows that every sporadic simple group has a proper non-DTI-subgroup, and that therefore there is no sporadic simple DTI-group:

Group	Non-DTI-subgroup	Group	Non-DTI-subgroup
M_{11}	PSL(2,11)	M_{12}	M_{11}
J_1	PSL(2,11)	M_{22}	A_7
J_2	PSU(3,3)	M_{23}	M_{11}
${}^{2}\mathrm{F}_{4}(2)'$	PSL(2,25)	HS	M_{22}
J_3	PSL(2, 19)	M_{24}	M_{23}
McL	M_{22}	He	A_7
Ru	A_8	Suz	A_7
O'N	J_1	Co_3	HS
Co_2	McL	Fi_{22}	A_{10}
HN	A_{12}	Ly	A_{11}
			To be continued.

Continued.					
Group	Non-DTI-subgroup	Group	Non-DTI-subgroup		
Th	PSL(2, 19)	Fi ₂₃	A_{12}		
Co_1	Co_2	J_4	PSL(2,23)		
Fi'_{24}	Fi_{23}	В	M_{11}		
M	Th				

Now suppose that G is a group of Lie type. If G is a Chevalley group except PSL(2, q) and PSp(4, q), then by [2], it has a subgroup isomorphic to either SL(3, q) or PSL(3, q). By Lemma 5.1 the latter are not DTI-groups unless q = 2, and the case q = 2 can be dealt with in a tedious case-by-case analysis. If G = PSp(4, q) and q is even, then by [11], it has a maximal subgroup isomorphic to the Suzuki group Sz(q) – and therefore it is not a DTI-group by Lemma 2.4.

If G is a Steinberg group, then it is of one of the types ${}^{2}A_{n}(q^{2})$, $n \geq 2$, ${}^{2}D_{n}(q^{2})$, $n \geq 4$, ${}^{2}E_{6}(q^{2})$ or ${}^{3}D_{4}(q^{3})$. Now again by [2], the groups ${}^{2}D_{n}(q^{2})$, $n \geq 4$, ${}^{2}E_{6}(q^{2})$ and ${}^{3}D_{4}(q^{3})$ have subgroups isomorphic to either SL(3, q) or PSL(3, q) – and again by Lemma 5.1 the latter are not DTI-groups unless q = 2. Also, as above the case q = 2 can be dealt with in a tedious case-by-case analysis. Further if $n \geq 5$, then ${}^{2}A_{n}(q^{2})$ has a proper subgroup isomorphic to either SL(3, q^{2}) or PSL(3, q^{2}). So we consider the Steinberg groups ${}^{2}A_{n}(q^{2})$ for $n \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. For q odd, the group ${}^{2}A_{n}(q^{2})$ has the simple subgroup P $\Omega_{n}^{+}(q)$, which is not a DTI-group by the previous paragraph. So we may assume that q is a power of 2. Since the groups ${}^{2}A_{2}(q^{2}) \cong PSL(2, q)$ have already been treated before, we may assume that $n \in \{3, 4\}$. But then the group ${}^{2}A_{n}(q^{2})$ has a subgroup isomorphic to the simple group SL(2, q^{2}), hence it is not a DTI-group.

The simple groups of types ${}^{2}F_{4}(2^{2m+1})$ and ${}^{2}G_{2}(3^{2n+1})$ have non-DTIsubgroups isomorphic to PSL(2,25) and PSL(2,3^{2n+1}), respectively. Finally, in Section 4 we have shown that except for Sz(8) the Suzuki groups are not DTI-groups, and the proof is completed.

References

- Alireza Abdollahi and Hamid Mousavi, Finite nilpotent groups whose cyclic subgroups are TI-subgroups., Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2) 40 (2017), no. 4, 1577–1589 (English).
- Michael J. J. Barry and Michael B. Ward, Simple groups contain minimal simple groups., Publ. Mat., Barc. 41 (1997), no. 2, 411–415 (English).
- 3. J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson, Atlas of finite groups. Maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple

groups. With comput. assist. from J. G. Thackray., Oxford: Clarendon Press. XXXIII, 252 p. (1985)., 1985.

- R. Dedekind, Ueber Gruppen, deren sämtliche Teiler Normalteiler sind., Math. Ann. 48 (1897), 548–561 (German).
- L. E. Dickson, Linear groups with an exposition of the Galois field theory., Leipzig: B. G. Teubner. X + 312 S. 8°. (Teubners Sammlung No. VI.) (1901)., 1901.
- Xiuyun Guo, Shirong Li, and Paul Flavell, *Finite groups whose Abelian subgroups are TI-subgroups.*, J. Algebra **307** (2007), no. 2, 565–569 (English).
- I. N. Herstein, A remark on finite groups., Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 9 (1958), 255–257 (English).
- B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, *Finite groups. III.*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 243. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag. IX, 454 p. DM 128.00; \$ 59.60 (1982)., 1982.
- Bertram Huppert, Endliche Gruppen. I., Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen. 134. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag. XII, 793 S. mit 15 Abb. (1967)., 1967.
- Heinz Lüneburg, Die Suzukigruppen und ihre Geometrien. Vorlesung Sommersemester 1965 in Mainz., vol. 10, Springer, Cham, 1965 (German).
- <u>*Translation planes.*</u>, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag. IX, 278 p. DM 54.50; \$ 30.10 (1980)., 1980.
- G. A. Miller and H. C. Moreno, Non-Abelian groups in which every subgroup is Abelian., Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 4 (1903), 398–404 (English).
- Hamid Mousavi, Tahereh Rastgoo, and Viktor Zenkov, The structure of nonnilpotent CTI-groups., J. Group Theory 16 (2013), no. 2, 249–261 (English).
- J. G. Thompson, Nonsolvable finite groups all of whose local subgroups are solvable., Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 74 (1968), 383–437 (English).
- 15. Gary Walls, Trivial intersection groups., Arch. Math. **32** (1979), 1–4 (English).